IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 10962 of 1993

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

GUJ STATE CO.OPERATIVE HSG. FINANCE COROPORATION LTD.

Versus

MEHNI CO-OP HOUSING SOC LTD

Appearance:

MR UR GANDHI for Petitioner No. 1

MR SB VAKIL for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

Date of decision: 25/03/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Mr. Saurabh Amin for Mr. U.R. Gandhi, learned Advocate for the petitioner stated at the bar that the petitioner Corporation has taken away the papers of the petition and Mr. Gandhi is no longer on the panel of the Corporation.

2. The petitioner has challenged the order of the Gujarat State Cooperative Tribunal dated 24th June 1993 whereby the Tribunal has partly allowed the revision application Nos.30/91 and 226/91.

3. This Court, by order dated 17th December 1994, has directed the petitioner to file separate petitions in both the revision applications. Though the same was not done, this Court on 7th November 1995, has admitted the matter and had continued the interim relief.

4. Thereafter by order dated 27th November 1995 this Court has vacated the interim relief in view of the fact that the petitioner has not taken any steps for service on the respondent. Apart from that, Joint Registrar and Member of Board of Nominees, Ahmedabad, by his communication dated 24th March 2004, has informed the Registry of this Court that the Lavad Case No.3023/1990 has been withdrawn on 12th March 1998.

5. In view of the above position, and also in view of the fact that the present petition is filed against an interlocutory order passed by the Gujart State Cooperative Tribunal, this petition has become infructuous. Accordingly the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

[K.S. JHAVERI, J.]

ar